## Synthesis and Asymmetric Oxidation of (Caranylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazoles

by Marina Y. Demakova<sup>a</sup>), Denis V. Sudarikov<sup>\*a</sup>), Svetlana A. Rubtsova<sup>a</sup>), Larisa L. Frolova<sup>a</sup>), Alexey V. Popov<sup>a</sup>), Pavel A. Slepukhin<sup>b</sup>), and Alexander V. Kuchin<sup>a</sup>)

a) Institute of Chemistry, Komi Science Centre, Ural Division of RAS, Pervomayskaya st., 48, RU-167982, Syktyvkar (fax:  $+78212218477$ ; e-mail: sudarikov-dv@chemi.komisc.ru)

b) Institute of Organic Synthesis Ural Division of RAS, Kovalevskoy st., 20, RU-620041, Ekaterinburg

For the first time 2-(cis-caran-4-ylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazole, 1-methyl-2-(cis-caran-4-ylsulfanyl)-1Himidazole, and 2-(cis-caran-4-ylsulfanyl)-1H-benzimidazole (carane  $= 3,7,7$ -trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]heptane) were synthesized, and the asymmetric oxidation of these compounds was also carried out. It was shown that oxidation by the Bolm system and the modified system of Sharpless lead to corresponding sulfoxides with de values of  $91 - 100\%$ .

Introduction. – Currently, the transformation of sulfur-containing compounds for the preparation of biologically active substances are being widely studied. Imidazol and benzimidazol sulfoxides have valuable practical properties, for instance, in the asymmetric synthesis [1] [2]; they are effective for the treatment of peptic ulcer disease [3] [4], and they may act as antioxidants and antidepressants [5]. In addition, it has been shown that the introduction of a compact lipophilic terpene fragment in the known structure of biologically active compounds, in some cases, enhances the biological activity [6].

Terpenes are widely used in organic synthesis to obtain enantiomerically pure compounds [7] [8] and as chiral auxiliaries or asymmetric ligands employed in enantiomerically selective transformations  $[9-11]$ . Recently, we have synthesized new chiral (neomenthylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazoles, and asymmetric oxidation of these sulfides (de up to  $90\%$ ) was carried out  $[12][13]$ .

Results and Discussion. – In the present work, the asymmetric oxidation of the newly synthesized optically active (caranyl)-1H-imidazoles (caran  $=$  3,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]heptane) was carried out.

Initial caranyl-containing heterocyclic sulfides were obtained by two methods. By the first method, the isocaran-4-yl  $p$ -toluenesulfonate  $(1)$  reacted with an equimolar amount of the 2-sulfanyl-1H-imidazole or 1-methyl-2-sulfanyl-1H-imidazole in an alkaline alcohol solution [14] (Scheme, i). Thus,  $2-$ { $[(1R,3S,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfanyl}-1H-imidazole (2) and 1-methyl-2-{ $[(1R,3S,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfanyl}-1H-imidazole (3) were obtained in 58 and 75% yields, respectively. This method proved to be ineffective for the synthesis of 2-  $\{[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-y] \}$ sulfanyl $\{-1H$ -benzimidazole (4), since the yield of the desired product was low (7%). Therefore, sulfide 4 was prepared according to the second method, *i.e.*, by the reaction of 1 with the 2-sulfanyl-1*H*-

<sup>© 2012</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

benzimidazole in the presence of the catalytic system  $Cs$ ,  $CO<sub>3</sub>/Bu$ <sub>4</sub>NI (TBAI; *Scheme*, *ii*, yield 68%) [15]. Application of the second method for the syntheses 2 and 3 did not increase the yields of sulfides, so the first method was used with easily accessible reagents.

Scheme. Synthesis and Asymmetrical Oxidation of (Caranylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazoles



The structures of the compounds were established by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis, and for sulfide 4 the X-ray crystal structure was determined (*Fig. 1*). The signals of the heterocyclic and carane fragments were observed in the <sup>1</sup>H- and  $^{13}$ C-NMR spectra. Thus, in the  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum of 2, a signal of two imidazole Hatoms appeared at the 7.11 ppm, and a broadened singlet of the NH H-atom appeared at 10.05 ppm. In the 13C-NMR spectrum, a signal of two imidazole C-atoms at 123.69 ppm  $(C(4')$  and  $C(5')$ ) and a signal of a quaternary C-atom at 140.87 ppm  $(C(2'))$ were detected. The  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum of the *N*-substituted sulfanyl-1H-imidazole 3 was similar to that of the sulfide with unsubstituted imidazole moiety, with the difference that there is a signal of the Me group at 3.58 ppm and no signal of a NH Hatom. In the <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of the sulfanylbenzimidazole 4, the signals of the aromatic H-atoms appear at  $7.15 - 7.21$  ppm  $(H - C(5))$ ,  $H - C(6))$  and  $7.50 - 7.56$  ppm  $(H-C(4'), H-C(7'))$ , and a broadened *singlet* of the NH H-atom appears at 10.25 ppm.



Fig. 1. Structure of one of the two independent molecules of compound 4 by X-ray diffraction

<sup>0)</sup> Atom numbering according to carane staleton.

In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of all (caranylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazoles  $2-4$ , two typical singlets of Me groups appeared at  $0.92 - 0.96$  (Me(8)<sup>1</sup>)) and  $0.97 - 1.02$  ppm (Me(9)), and one *doublet* at  $0.95-0.96$  ppm  $(J=6.9 \text{ Hz})$  for Me(10) and the signals of H–C(4) at 3.75 – 4.21 ppm were detected. The 13C-NMR spectra of the 2 – 4 exhibited the signals of C(4) at 47.8 – 49.8 ppm, which is characteristic of a cyclic monoterpenic C-atoms linked to a sulfanyl moiety.

The formation of the (caranylsulfanyl)-1H-imidazoles occurs via a bimolecular nucleophilic substitution with complete inversion of the configuration at  $C(4)$ . The inversion of the configuration is established by an X-ray crystal-structure analysis of 4. The HPLC indicated showed no diastereoisomeric isocaran-4-yl sulfides.

Two independent molecules of compound 4 crystallized in a chiral space group of the orthorhombic system (Table 1). The general structure of the first molecule is shown in Fig. 1, the second molecule has an analogous structure. The numbers of the atoms in the second molecules have an additional designation 'A'. For both molecules, bond lengths and angles were standard, and selected bond lengths and angles are compiled in Table 2. The cyclohexyl moiety has a pseudo-envelope conformation in which five

	4	2a	3a
Crystal size [mm]	$0.24 \times 0.18 \times 0.13$	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$
Crystal color	Colorless	Colorless	Yellow
Empirical formula	$C_{17}H_{22}N_2S$	$C_{13}H_{20}N_{2}OS$	$C_{14}H_{22}N_2OS$
Formula weight	286.43	252.37	266.40
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Tetragonal	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$P_{3,2,2}$	$P2_1$
Unit cell dimensions			
$a[\AA]$	10.2139(2)	10.7516(6)	12.8549(11)
$b[\AA]$	14.7443(3)	10.7516(6)	7.9406(5)
$c[\AA]$	21.1653(5)	23.8603(15)	14.3449(16)
$\alpha$ [°]	90	90	90
$\beta$ [ $^{\circ}$ ]	90	90	100.142(8)
$\gamma$ [°]	90	90	90
$V[\AA^3], Z$	$3187.43(12)$ , 8	2758.2(3), 8	$1441.4(2)$ , 4
$D_{calc}$ [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	1.194	1.215	1.228
$\mu$ [mm <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.196	0.222	0.216
$\theta$ Range for data collection	$2.61 < \theta < 33.60$	$2.68 < \theta < 28.30$	$2.94 < \theta < 26.37$
Reflections collected	25100	11757	5854
Independent reflections $(Rint)$	11281 (0.0234)	3393 (0.0409)	4983 (0.0223)
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	5093	1503	2922
Completeness (to $\theta$ , $\degree$ )	99.6% (30.00)	99.7% (28.30)	97.4% (26.37)
S on $F^2$	1.007	1.004	1.004
$R_1$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]	0.0356	0.0326	0.0371
$wR_2$ $\lceil l \rangle 2\sigma(I)$	0.0744	0.0516	0.0614
$R_1$ (all data)	0.0810	0.0932	0.0691
$wR_2$ (all data)	0.0765	0.0542	0.0637
Absolute structure parameter	$-0.05(4)$	$-0.04(6)$	$-0.07(6)$
<b>Extinction coefficient</b>		0.0076(4)	
Largest diff. peak and hole [e $A^{-1}$ ]	$0.336/-0.244$	$0.138/-0.164$	$0.189/-0.196$

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for Compounds 4, 2a, and 3a

Bond lengths <sup>a</sup> )	$\hat{[A]}$	Bond angles <sup>a</sup> )	[°]
4			
$S(1) - C(1)$	1.7367(14)	$C(12) - C(11) - C(13)$	60.35(11)
$S(1) - C(8)$	1.8468(15)	$C(11) - C(12) - C(13)$	59.96(11)
$N(1) - C(1)$	1.3164(15)	$C(11) - C(13) - C(12)$	59.69(11)
$C(1) - N(2)$	1.3550(16)	$C(1A)-S(1A)-C(8A)$	101.52(6)
$C(11) - C(12)$	1.488(2)	$C(12A) - C(11A) - C(13A)$	60.28(10)
$C(11) - C(13)$	1.492(2)	$C(11A)-C(12A)-C(13A)$	59.98(11)
$C(12) - C(13)$	1.498(2)	$C(11A) - C(13A) - C(12A)$	59.73(11)
		$C(1)-S(1)-C(8)$	102.46(6)
$S(1A) - C(1A)$	1.7483(14)		
$S(1A) - C(8A)$	1.8374(14)		
$N(1A)-C(1A)$	1.3142(15)		
$C(1A)-N(2A)$	1.3568(17)		
$C(11A)-C(12A)$	1.494(2)		
$C(11A)-C(13A)$	1.498(2)		
$C(12A)-C(13A)$	1.502(2)		
2a			
$S(1) - O(1)$	1.4916(12)	$O(1) - S(1) - C(2)$	105.35(9)
$S(1)$ –C(2)	1.7604(19)	$O(1) - S(1) - C(6)$	108.36(8)
$S(1)$ –C(6)	1.8186(17)	$C(2)-S(1)-C(6)$	97.76(8)
$C(9)-C(10)$	1.499(3)	$C(10)-C(9)-C(11)$	59.79(14)
$C(9)-C(11)$	1.503(3)	$C(11) - C(10) - C(9)$	60.24(13)
$C(10)-C(11)$	1.496(3)	$C(10)-C(11)-C(9)$	59.97(13)
$N(1) - C(2)$	1.344(2)	$N(3)-C(2)-N(1)$	112.76(18)
$C(2) - N(3)$	1.303(2)		
3a			
$S(1) - O(1)$	1.492(2)	$O(1) - S(1) - C(2)$	106.58(14)
$S(1) - C(2)$	1.776(3)	$O(1) - S(1) - C(6)$	106.26(13)
$S(1)$ –C(6)	1.822(3)	$C(2)-S(1)-C(6)$	97.83(15)
$N(1) - C(2)$	1.351(4)	$C(9)-C(8)-C(10)$	60.8(2)
$C(2) - N(3)$	1.302(4)	$C(10)-C(9)-C(8)$	60.5(2)
$C(8)-C(9)$	1.483(5)	$C(9)-C(10)-C(8)$	58.8(2)
$C(8)-C(10)$	1.509(4)	$O(1A) - S(1A) - C(2A)$	107.12(13)
$C(9)-C(10)$	1.514(5)	$O(1A) - S(1A) - C(6A)$	105.79(14)
$S(1A) - O(1A)$	1.494(2)	$C(2A)-S(1A)-C(6A)$	98.73(15)
$S(1A)-C(2A)$	1.768(3)	$C(9A)-C(8A)-C(10A)$	59.6(2)
$S(1A)-C(6A)$	1.812(3)	$C(10A)-C(9A)-C(8A)$	59.78(18)
$N(1A)-C(2A)$	1.350(4)	$C(9A)-C(10A)-C(8A)$	60.6(2)
$C(2A)-N(3A)$	1.321(3)		
$C(8A)-C(9A)$	1.523(4)		
$C(8A)-C(10A)$	1.511(4)		
$C(9A)-C(10A)$	1.508(4)		
a) Atom numbering adopted for the XRD experiment; see Figs. $1-3$ .			

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths [Å] and Angles [°] for Compounds 4, 2a, and 3a

atoms,  $C(8)$ ,  $C(10)$ ,  $C(11)$ ,  $C(13)$ , and  $C(14)$  (numbering adopted for the XRD experiment), are placed in a plane with a maximum deviations of  $< 0.04$  Å, and the Me and heteroarylsulfanyl groups occupy a synclinal position. The molecular packing is

$D-H^a$ )		$d(D-H) [\mathring{A}]$ $d(H \cdots A) [\mathring{A}]$ $\langle (DHA) [^{\circ}]$ $d(D \cdots A) [\mathring{A}]$ $A^a$ )			
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$					
$N(2A) - H(2A)$	0.914(14)	2.02(2)	157.0(9)	2.887(3)	$N(1)[x+1, y, z]$
$N(2) - H(2)$	0.827(15)	2.12(2)	162.5(9)	2.919(3)	N(1A)
2a					
$N(1) - H(1)$	0.912(18)	1.886(19)	162.8(16)	2.771(2)	$O(1)[y, x, -z]$
		<sup>a</sup> ) Atom numbering adopted for the XRD experiment; see <i>Figs.</i> $1-3$ .			

Table 3. H-Bonds with  $H \cdots A < r(A) + 2.000 \text{ Å}$  and  $\lt(DHA) > 110^{\circ}$ 

formed by H-bonded polymer chains in which the planes of the heterocyclic fragments form a dihedral angle of  $66.5^{\circ}$ . The parameters of H-bonds are collected in Table 3.

For the oxidation of  $2-4$ , well-known oxidants for sulfides were used. The achiral oxidants (meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CPBA), cumene hydroperoxide (CHP) in the presence of  $[VO(acac)_2]$  (=vanadyl acetylacetonate = oxobis(pentane-2,4dionato)vanadium(IV)), tert-butyl hydroperoxide ('BuOOH) in the presence of  $[VO(acac)_2)]$ , and chiral systems (*Bolm* with *Jacobsen* ligand, and a modified Sharpless system) were used. The results of the asymmetric oxidation are compiled in Table 4.

Table 4. The Results of the Asymmetric Oxidation

Sulfide		$m$ -CPBA	TBHP/ [VO(acac),]	CHP/ [VO (acac) <sub>2</sub> ]	<b>Bolm</b> system <sup>b</sup> )	<b>Sharpless</b> $systemc$ )
$\overline{2}$	Yield <sup>a</sup> ) [%]	69	73	76	83	80
	de	48	51	56	100	100
3	Yield <sup>a</sup> ) [%]	86	71	67	82	89
	de	42	48	43	91	94
$\boldsymbol{4}$	Yield <sup>a</sup> ) $[\%]$ de	99 40	83 50	79 52	86	76 100

<sup>a</sup>) The overall preparative yield of the diastereoisomers. <sup>b</sup>) Sulfide/[VO(acac)<sub>2</sub>]/L\*/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 50 : 1 : 1.5 : 50;  $L^* = (+)$ - $(S, S)$ - $N, N'$ -bis[3,5-di(tert-butyl)salicylidene]cyclohexane-1,2-diamine.  $\degree$ ) Sulfide/(+)-DET/ 'BuOOH/('PrO)<sub>4</sub>Ti/H<sub>2</sub>O/EtN('Pr)<sub>2</sub> 1 : 2 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1; DET = diethyltartrate; solvent CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

The oxidation of sulfides  $2-4$  by m-CPBA, TBHP, or CHP led to sulfoxides with high average yields (67–83%) and a moderate diastereoselectivity (43–56%). The use of the chiral catalyst systems allowed us to obtain the corresponding sulfoxides with high yields (up to  $89\%$ ) and very high de values  $(91 - 100\%)$ .

All the individual diastereoisomers were isolated and characterized by NMR and IR spectroscopy, crystals were grown for compounds 2a and 3a, and the X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out. In the IR spectra of the obtained sulfoxides 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4a, and 4b, absorption bands characteristic of the sulfinyl moiety in the range of 1018–1042 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed. Signals of the heterocyclic and carane fragments are observed in the  ${}^{1}H$ - and  ${}^{13}C$ -NMR spectra. In the  ${}^{13}C$ -NMR spectra of the

caranylsulfinylimidazoles, in contrast to the corresponding sulfides, the signal of C(4) shifted downfield to  $62.5 - 65.3$  ppm.

The oxidation of sulfide 2 by chiral catalytic systems led to the formation of one diastereoisomer 2a. The structure and absolute configuration of 2a were determined by means of the X-Ray diffraction data (*Fig. 2*). This predominant diastereoisomer was also formed by using other oxidants. According to the X-ray data, 2a crystallized in a chiral space group of the tetragonal system. Selected bond lengths and angles are collected in *Table 2*. The cyclohexyl moiety has a 'boat' conformation with the heteroarylsulfanyl and Me substituents are in an eclipsed conformation. The molecular packing is formed by  $S$ -O…H-N bonded dimers, placed on a twofold axis. The parameters of the H-bonds are compiled in Table 3.



Fig. 2. Structure of one of the two independent molecules of compound  $2a$  by X-ray diffraction

Similarly, the oxidation of compound 4 with the modified Sharpless reagent led to one diastereoisomer 4a in 76% yield. The predominant diastereoisomer in the oxidation of sulfide 3 was sulfoxide 3a. The structure and absolute configuration of compound 3a were determined by the X-Ray crystallography ( $Fig. 3$ ). According to the X-ray data, compound 3a crystallized in a chiral space group of the monoclinic system. The molecular geometry, bond lengths and angles in particular, is in agreement with the molecular structure of compound 3a. Selected bond lengths and angles are collected in Table 2. In compound  $2a$ , the cyclohexyl moiety has a 'boat' conformation with heteroarylsulfanyl and Me substituents in an eclipsed conformation. The molecular packing has no significant short contacts.

The diastereoisomers 2a and 3a have the  $(R)$ -configuration at the S-atom. In this case, the O-atom of the sulfinyl moiety at  $C(4)^1$  is oriented in the direction opposite to that of the Me group at  $C(3)$ . Accordingly, the formation of the sterically less obstructed  $(R)$ -diastereoisomer is more advantageous.

The absolute configurations of compounds 2b and 3b were determined by comparing them with those of sulfoxides 2a and 3a. No suitable crystals were grown



Fig. 3. Structure of one of the two independent molecules of compound  $3a$  by X-ray diffraction

in the case of 4a and 4b. The  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR spectra of the  $(R)$ -diastereoisomers 2a and 3a displayed a significant similarity to that of diastereoisomer 4a with respect to the chemical shifts and coupling constants. The spectra of compounds 2b, 3b and 4b were also similar. So in the spectrum of compound **2a**, the  $H_a-C(5)^1$  and  $H_\beta-C(5)$  signals had similar chemical shifts at 1.47–1.62 ppm. Similarly, the  $H_a-C(5)$  and  $H_\beta-C(5)$ signals of diastereoisomer **4a** appeared at  $1.43 - 1.76$  ppm. In the spectrum of  $2b$ , the  $\rm H_{\it a}$ –C(5) signal appeared at 0.83 – 0.97 and the  $\rm H_{\it \it \beta}$ –C(5) signal at 1.48 – 1.57 ppm. In the spectrum of  $4b$ , the  $H_a-C(5)$  signal was detected at  $0.95-1.13$  and the  $H_\beta-C(5)$  signal at  $1.82 - 1.87$  ppm. The chemical shifts of the H-atoms at  $C(1)$  and  $C(6)$  in the  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ -diastereoisomers were significantly different (*Fig. 4*).

Based on these observations, optical rotations, and also the yields of the diastereoisomers (2a:  $51 - 80\%$ , 3a:  $48 - 86\%$ , 4a:  $40 - 82\%$ ; 2b: up to  $17\%$ , 3b:  $3 -$ 25%, **4b**: up to 30%), it can be concluded that compound **4a** has an  $(R)$ -configuration, and 4b has an (S)-configuration at the S-atom.

Conclusion. – New caranyl heteroaryl sulfides were synthesized, and the conditions for their asymmetric oxidation were established. The efficiency achieved with the Bolm and modified Sharpless chiral systems for the syntheses of diastereoisomerically pure and diastereoisomerically enriched sulfoxides is reported.

## Experimental Part

General. The starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Column chromatography (CC): AlfaAesar Silica gel 60 (0.06 – 0.2 mm). TLC: Sorbfil plates. HPLC: Thermo Finnigan Surveyor system, BDS Hypersil C18, Hypersil Gold, Hypercarb columns. Optical rotations: Kruss P3002RS digital polarimeter. M.p.: Gallencamp-Sanyo apparatus; uncorrected. IR Spectra: Shimadzu IR Prestige spectrophotometer. NMR Spectra: Bruker Avance-300 instrument, at 300.17 ( $^1$ H) and 75.48 MHz ( $^{13}$ C); CDCl<sub>3</sub> solns.;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to CDCl<sub>3</sub> as internal standard; J in Hz. 2D Homonuclear <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY, NOESY spectra and heteronuclear <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>13</sup>C-HMBC, HSQC spectra were used to assign the H- and C-signals. Elemental analyses: EA 1110 CHNS-O analyzer. X-Ray diffraction analyses of single crystals: X-ray diffractometer Xcalibur S equipped with a CCD detector ( $M \alpha K_a$ graphite-monochromated radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$ -scanning technique, the scanning step, 1°) at 295(2) K. Absorption correction was not applied. The structures were solved by the direct methods and refined applying the full matrix least-squares against  $F_{hk}^2$  with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Sections of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of compounds 2a and 4a, and 2b and 4b<sup>1</sup>)

H-atoms using the SHELX97 program package [16]. All the H-atoms were located in different electron density maps and refined using a riding model with fixed thermal parameters. The general crystallographic data and results of refinements are compiled in *Table 1*. CCDC-851807-851809 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this article (compounds  $4$ ,  $2a$ , and  $3a$ ). These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

 $(-)$ -Isocaran-4-ol  $(=(1R,3R,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-ol). Prepared from  $(+)$ car-3-ene ( $\left[ \alpha \right]_D^{20} = +18$ , neat) according to [17], in 98% yield.

 $(-)$ -Isocaran-4-yl 4-Methylbenzenenesulfonate  $(=(IR,3R,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl 4-Methylbenzenesulfonate; 1) prepared according to [18], in quant. yield.

2-{[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfanyl}-1H-imidazole (2) and 1-Methyl- $2-\frac{1}{\pi}$ (1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfanyl]-1H-imidazole (3). KOH (0.07 g, 1.2 mmol) in 3 ml of EtOH was added to the soln. of the corresponding thiol (1 mmol) in 5 ml of EtOH. The mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then, the temp. was raised to 78°, and EtOH soln. of 1 (0.37 g, 1 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h, evaporated, extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$ . The solvent was removed, and the products 2 and 3 were purified by CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

*Data of* 2. Yield: 58%. Yellow crystals. M.p.  $109-110^{\circ}$ .  $\left[\alpha\right]_{0}^{20} = +49.75$  ( $c = 0.16$ , EtOH). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz})^1$ : 0.53 (td, J = 8.9, 5.6, H–C(6)); 0.67 (td, J = 8.9, 7.0, H–C(1)); 0.85 (ddd, J = 14.5, 10.0, 7.0,  $H_a-C(2)$ ); 0.96 (d,  $J=6.9$ , Me(10)); 0.96 (s, Me(8)); 1.00 (s, Me(9)); 1.38 (ddd,  $J=15.3$ , 7.6, 5.5,  $\rm{H}_{a}-C(5)$ ); 1.81 (ddd, J = 14.5, 8.9, 5.6,  $\rm{H}_{p}-C(2)$ ); 1.87 – 2.02 (m,  $\rm{H}-C(3)$ ); 2.18 (ddd, J = 15.4, 8.9, 7.0,  $\rm{H}_{\beta}$ –C(5)); 3.75 (q, J=7.0, H–C(4)); 7.11 (br. s, H–C(4'), H–C(5')); 10.05 (br. s, NH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  $(75.48 \text{ MHz})$ : 15.8  $(C(9))$ ; 17.8  $(C(7))$ ; 18.8  $(C(10))$ ; 20.5  $(C(6))$ ; 21.4  $(C(1))$ ; 25.3  $(C(2))$ ; 25.6  $(C(5))$ ; 28.5 (C(8)); 30.8 (C(3)); 49.8 (C(4)); 123.7 (C(4'), C(5')); 140.9 (C(2')). Anal. calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S (236.38): C 66.06, H 8.53, N 11.85, S 13.57; found: C 66.89, H 8.24, N 11.25, S 13.62.

*Data of* 3. Yield: 75%. Yellow oil.  $\lbrack a \rbrack_0^2 = +62.88$  ( $c = 0.59$ , EtOH). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.58 (*td*,  $J = 8.9, 5.5, H - C(6)$ ); 0.72 (td,  $J = 8.9, 7.0, H - C(1)$ ); 0.88 (ddd,  $J = 14.5, 10.0, 7.0, H_a - C(2)$ ); 0.95 (d,  $J = 14.5, H_a$ 6.9, Me(10)); 0.96 (s, Me(8)), 1.02 (s, Me(9)); 1.43 (ddd, J = 15.3, 7.2, 5.5, H<sub>a</sub>-C(5)); 1.83 (ddd, J = 14.5, 8.9, 5.6,  $H_\beta$ –C(2)); 1.89–2.03 (m, H–C(3)); 2.24 (ddd, J = 15.3, 8.9, 7.2,  $H_\beta$ –C(5)); 3.58 (s, Me–N(1')); 3.84  $(q, J = 7.0, H-C(4))$ , 6.87  $(d, J = 1.0, H-C(5'))$ ; 7.03  $(d, J = 1.0, H-C(4'))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 15.8 (C(9)); 17.7 (C(7)); 18.8 (C(10)); 20.4 (C(6)); 21.5 (C(1)); 25.3 (C(5)); 25.7 (C(2)); 28.5 (C(8)); 30.7  $(C(3))$ ; 33.2 (Me–N(1')); 49.6 (C(4)); 121.7 (C(5')); 129.2 (C(4')); 142.5 (C(2')). Anal. calc. for  $C_{14}H_{22}N_2S$ (250.41): C 67.15, H 8.86, N 11.19, S 12.81; found: C 67.24, H 9.01, N 11.21, S 12.54.

2-{[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfanyl}-1H-benzimidazole (4). The soln. of 2-sulfanyl-1H-benzimidazole (0.15 g, 1 mmol), Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.33 g, 1 mmol), and Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (TBAI; 0.37 g, 1 mmol) in 5 ml of EtOH was stirred for 1 h at  $20^{\circ}$ . Then, 1 (0.34 g, 1 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (Et<sub>2</sub>O/heptane 2:1). The mixture was evaporated, extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 30$  ml), and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was removed, and the product 4 was purified by CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Yield: 68%. Colorless crystals. M.p.  $184-185^\circ$ . [ $\alpha$ ] $_0^{20} = +55.30$  ( $c = 0.27$ , EtOH).  $H-NMR (300 MHz)^{1}: 0.49 (td, J=9.0, 5.5, H-C(6)); 0.64 (td, J=9.0, 7.0, H-C(1)); 0.81 (ddd, J=14.7, 1.04)$  $10.3, 7.0, H_a-C(2))$ ,  $0.92$  (s, Me(8));  $0.95$  (d, J = 6.9, Me(10)); 0.97 (s, Me(9)); 1.46 (ddd, J = 15.4, 7.2, 5.5,  $\rm{H}_{a}-C(5)$ ); 1.78 (ddd, J = 14.7, 8.9, 5.5,  $\rm{H}_{p}-C(2)$ ); 1.91 – 2.05 (m,  $\rm{H}-C(3)$ ); 2.37 (ddd, J = 15.3, 8.9, 7.2,  $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}\mathrm{-C}(5)$ ); 4.21 (q, J = 7.0, H-C(4)); 7.15 – 7.21 (m, H-C(5'), H-C(6')); 7.50 – 7.56 (m, H-C(4'),  $\text{H--C(7')}; 10.25 \text{ (br. s, NH)}. \text{^{13}C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>}; 15.7 \text{ (C(9)}); 17.7 \text{ (C(7)}); 18.9 \text{ (C(10)}); 20.1 \text{ (C(6))};$ 21.6 (C(1)); 25.2 (C(2)); 26.0 (C(5)); 28.4 (C(8)); 30.8 (C(3)); 47.8 (C(4)); 113.8 (C(5'), C(6')); 121.9  $(C(4'), C(7'))$ ; 139.1  $(C(3a'), C(7a'))$ ; 151.3  $(C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for  $C_{17}H_{22}N_2S$  (286.44): C 71.28, H 7.74, N 9.78, S 11.19; found: C 71.13, H 8.03, N 10.00, S 10.84.

Oxidation of 2 – 4 with m-Chloroperoxybenzoic Acid (m-CPBA). General Procedure. The soln. of m-CPBA (0.246 g, 1 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 0° was added to 1 mmol of a sulfide  $2-4$  dissolved in 5 ml of  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ . The mixture was stirred for 5 h and purged with dry  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  gas. The resulting ammonium salt as a gummy precipitate was filtered. The solvent was removed, and the products were purified by  $CC$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/  $Et<sub>2</sub>O 50:1$ .

Oxidation of  $2-4$  with TBHP(or CHP)-[VO(acac)<sub>2</sub>] Systems. General Procedure. The soln. of 1 mmol of 'BuOOH (TBHP) or cumene hydroperoxide (CHP) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added to the mixture of 1 mmol of  $2-4$  and 0.01 mmol of  $[VO(acac)_2]$  in 5 ml of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. After 1 h of stirring at r.t., an aq. soln. of FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7 H<sub>2</sub>O and citric acid was added to the mixture, and the products were extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$ 15 ml). The extract was washed with sat. soln. of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and NaCl, and dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ . The solvent was removed, and the products were purified by CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 50:1).

Asymmetric Oxidation of  $2 - 4$  with a Modified Sharpless System. This reaction was conducted according to [19]. The products were purified by CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 50:1).

Asymmetric Oxidation of 2-4 with the Bolm System. This reaction was carried out according to [20] with the *Jacobsen* ligand  $(+)$ -(*S,S*)-*N,N'*-bis[3,5-di(*tert*-butyl)salicylidene]cyclohexane-1,2-diamine [21]. The products were purified by CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 50:1).

2-{(R)-[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl}-1H-imidazole (2a). Yield: 51 – 80%. Colorless crystals. M.p.  $148 - 149^\circ$ .  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = +239.89$  ( $c = 0.27$ ; EtOH). IR (KBr): 1034  $(S=O)$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.50 (q, J = 8.5, H-C(6)); 0.67 (q, J = 8.5, H-C(1)); 0.86 (ddd, J = 14.2, 11.7, 8.5,  $H_a-C(2)$ ; 0.94 (s, Me(9)); 0.96 (s, Me(8)); 1.41 (d, J = 7.1, Me(10)); 1.47 – 1.62 (m, H<sub>a</sub>-C(5),  $H_{\beta}$ –C(5)); 1.81 (ddd, J = 14.2, 7.8, 4.6,  $H_{\beta}$ –C(2)); 2.08 – 2.26 (m, H–C(3)); 3.28 (dt, J = 9.7, 8.5, H–C(4)); 7.21 (br. s, H–C(4'), H–C(5')); 12.08 (br. s, NH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 13.7 (C(5)); 14.9 (C(9)); 18.2  $(C(6))$ ; 18.7  $(C(7))$ ; 19.0  $(C(10))$ ; 22.4  $(C(1))$ ; 26.9  $(C(2))$ ; 28.3  $(C(8))$ ; 30.3  $(C(3))$ ; 62.6  $(C(4))$ ; 119.2  $(C(5'))$ ; 131.2  $(C(4'))$ ; 145.0  $(C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for  $C_{13}H_{20}N_2SO$  (252.38): C 61.87, H 7.99, N 11.10, S 12.71; found: C 61.50, H 8.13, N 11.04, S 12.99.

2-{(S)-[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl}-1H-imidazole (2b). Yield: up to 17%. White crystal powder. M.p.  $102-103^\circ$ . [ $\alpha$ ] $^{20}_{10} = -47.69$  ( $c = 0.26$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 1024 (S=O).  ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$  (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.54 – 0.63 (*m*, H–C(6), H–C(1)); 0.83 – 1.01 (*m*, H<sub>a</sub>–C(2), H<sub>a</sub>–C(5)); 0.91 (*s*,  $\text{Me}(9)$ ); 0.96 (s,  $\text{Me}(8)$ ); 1.31 (d, J = 7.1, Me(10)); 1.48 – 1.57 (m,  $H_{\beta}$ –C(5)); 2.01 – 2.11 (m,  $H_{\beta}$ –C(2));  $2.29 - 2.43$  (m, H–C(3));  $3.51$  (ddd, J = 11.8, 7.2, 4.9, H–C(4)); 7.20 (br. s, H–C(4'), H–C(5')); 12.70 (br. s, NH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 15.1 (C(9)); 16.8 (C(5)); 17.7 (C(10)); 18.3 (C(7)); 20.0 (C(6)); 21.1  $(C(1))$ ; 26.4  $(C(2))$ ; 27.5  $(C(3))$ ; 28.2  $(C(8))$ ; 65.1  $(C(4))$ ; 120.7  $(C(5'))$ ; 129.2  $(C(4'))$ ; 144.4  $(C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for C13H20N2SO (252.38): C 61.87, H 7.99, N 11.10, S 12.71; found: C 61.92, H 8.07, N 11.11, S 12.60.

1-Methyl-2- $f(R)$ - $f(R,3S,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl]-1H-imidazole (3a). Yield: 48–86%. Yellow crystals. M.p. 95–96°.  $\lbrack a \rbrack_0^2 = +87.94$  ( $c = 0.34$ , EtOH). IR (KBr): 1036 (S=O).  $1\,\text{H-NMR}$  (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.60 – 0.71 (m, H–C(6), H–C(1)); 0.79 – 0.92 (m, H<sub>a</sub>–C(2)); 1.00 (s, Me(8)); 1.04  $(s, \text{Me}(9)), 1.09 \ (d, J = 7.0, \text{Me}(10)); 1.54 - 1.67 \ (m, \text{H}_a-\text{C}(5)); 1.83 \ (ddd, J = 14.2, 8.2, 5.67, \text{H}_b-\text{C}(2));$  $1.89 - 2.03$  (m, H-C(3), H<sub>β</sub>-C(5));  $3.62 - 3.72$  (m, H-C(4));  $3.94$  (s, Me-N(1')); 6.95 (d, J=0.9,  $H-C(5')$ ; 7.13 (d, J = 0.9, H-C(4')). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 14.9 (C(5)); 15.1 (C(9)); 18.3 (C(10)); 18.5 (C(7)); 19.6 (C(6)); 21.5 (C(1)); 26.8 (C(2)); 28.3 (C(8)); 29.2 (C(3)); 33.8 (Me-N(1'); 61.0 (C(4)); 124.7 (C(5')); 129.4 (C(4')); 144.2 (C(2')). Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>SO (266.40): C 63.12, H 8.32, N 10.52, S 12.04; found: C 64.02, H 8.01, N 11.03, S 11.93.

1-Methyl-2-{(S)-[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl}-1H-imidazole (3b). Yield: 3–25%. Yellow crystal powder. M.p.  $124-125^{\circ}$ .  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -15.13$  ( $c = 0.52$ , EtOH). IR (KBr): 1042 (S=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.58 – 0.68 (m, H–C(6), H–C(1)); 0.72 – 0.83 (m, H<sub>a</sub>–C(2)); 0.92 (s,  $\text{Me}(9)$ ); 0.95 (s,  $\text{Me}(8)$ ); 0.93 – 1.06 (m, H<sub>a</sub>-C(5)); 1.25 (d, J = 7.0, Me(10)); 1.28 – 1.39 (m, H<sub>β</sub>-C(2));  $2.11 - 2.21$   $(m, H<sub>\beta</sub> - C(5))$ ; 2.44  $(dq, J = 14.5, 7.3, H - C(3))$ ; 3.75  $(ddd, J = 11.2, 6.7, 4.7, H - C(4))$ ; 3.93 (s, Me–N(1')); 7.01  $(d, J=0.9, H-C(5'))$ ; 7.18  $(d, J=0.9, H-C(4'))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 15.3 (C(9)); 16.8 (C(5)); 17.5 (C(10)); 18.1 (C(7)); 19.9 (C(6)); 21.2 (C(1)); 26.1 (C(2)); 26.6 (C(3)); 28.2 (C(8)); 33.6  $(Me-N(1'); 62.5 (C(4)); 124.6 (C(5')); 130.1 (C(4')); 144.9 (C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for  $C_{14}H_{22}N_2SO (266.40):$  C 63.12, H 8.32, N 10.52, S 12.04; found: C 63.86, H 8.30, N 10.12, S 11.81.

 $2-(R)$ - $(1R,3S,4R,6S)$ -4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl}-1H-benzimidazole (4a). Yield: 40–82%. Yellow crystal powder. M.p.  $98-99^{\circ}$ .  $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_0^{20} = +43.50$  ( $c = 0.77$ , EtOH). IR (KBr): 1040 (S=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.47 (q, J = 8.5, H-C(6)); 0.70 (q, J = 8.5, H-C(1)); 0.84 – 1.02 (m,  $\text{H}_a-\text{C}(2)$ ); 0.85 (s, Me(8)); 0.92 (s, Me(9)); 1.43 – 1.57 (m,  $\text{H}_a-\text{C}(5)$ ); 1.59 (d, J = 7.0, Me(10)); 1.68 – 1.76  $(m, H<sub>\beta</sub>-C(5))$ ; 1.84 (ddd, J = 14.3, 8.0, 4.1, H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-C(2)); 2.21 – 2.38  $(m, H<sub>-C(3))</sub>$ ; 3.48 (q, J = 9.0, H–C(4)); 7.30 – 7.36 (m, H-C(5'), H-C(6')); 7.59 – 7.76 (m, H-C(4'), H-C(7')); 12.04 (br. s, NH). 13C-NMR  $(75.48 \text{ MHz})^1$ : 13.8  $(C(5))$ ; 14.7  $(C(9))$ ; 17.6  $(C(6))$ ; 18.8  $(C(7))$ ; 19.3  $(C(10))$ ; 22.9  $(C(1))$ ; 26.9  $(C(2))$ ;

28.2 (C(8)); 30.9 (C(3)); 63.0 (C(4)); 123.4 (C(4') C(5'), C(6'), C(7')); 143.9 (C(3a'), C(7a')); 152.88  $(C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for  $C_{17}H_{27}N_2SO(302.43)$ : C 67.51, H 7.33, N 9.26, S 10.60; found: C 67.60, H 7.27, N 9.53, S 10.31.

2-{(S)-[(1R,3S,4R,6S)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl]sulfinyl}-1H-benzimidazole (4b). Yield: up to 30%. White crystal powder. M.p.  $113-114^{\circ}$ .  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20} = -7.76$  ( $c = 0.57$ , EtOH). IR (KBr): 1018 (S=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 0.50 – 0.62 (m, H-C(6), H-C(1)); 0.92 (s, Me(9)); 0.93 (s, Me(8));  $0.92 - 1.13$  (m, H<sub>a</sub>-C(2), H<sub>a</sub>-C(5)); 1.33 (d, J = 7.0, Me(10)); 1.82 – 1.87 (m, H<sub>β</sub>-C(5)); 2.06 (dt, J = 14.2, 7.9,  $H_{\beta}$ –C(2)); 2.40 (dq, J = 14.8, 7.3, H–C(3)); 3.50 (ddd, J = 11.0, 7.1, 4.5, H–C(4)); 7.29–7.35 (m, H-C(5'), H-C(6')); 7.60 – 7.77 (m, H-C(4'), H-C(7')); 12.78 (br. s, NH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75.48 MHz)<sup>1</sup>): 15.1  $(C(9))$ ; 17.0  $(C(5))$ ; 17.6  $(C(10))$ ; 18.3  $(C(7))$ ; 19.7  $(C(6))$ ; 21.4  $(C(1))$ ; 26.5  $(C(2))$ ; 27.4  $(C(3))$ ; 28.1  $(C(8))$ ; 65.3  $(C(4))$ ; 123.6  $(C(4'), C(5'), C(6'), C(7'))$ ; 143.86  $(C(3a'), C(7a'))$ ; 151.80  $(C(2'))$ . Anal. calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>SO (302.44): C 67.51, H 7.33, N 9.26, S 10.60; found: C 67.56, H 7.38, N 9.17, S 10.62.

The study was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 10-03-00969) and the Ural Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences (grant 12-U-3-1015). The authors are grateful to members of the laboratory of physical and chemical methods of investigation of the Institute of Chemistry, Komi Science Centre, RAS: E. N. Zainullina, S. P. Kuznetsov, I. N. Alexeev for recording the NMR spectra, E. U. Ipatova for recording the IR spectra, and S. A. Patov for conducting HPLC.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] M. Uchida, M. Chihiro, S. Morita, T. Kanabe, H. Ymashita, K. Yamasaki, Y. Yabuuchi, K. Nakagawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 2109.
- [2] S. Holt, C. W. Howden, Digestive Deseases and Scienses 1991, 36, 385.
- [3] J. Legros, R. J. Dehli, C. Bolm, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 19.
- [4] T. L. Lapina, Klinicheskaya pharmacologia i terepiya 2002, 2. [Russ].
- [5] E. Wojaczyńska, J. Wojaczyński, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4303.
- [6] R. I. Duclos Jr., D. Lu, J. Guo, A. Makriyannis, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5587.
- [7] L. A. Paquette, H. J. Kang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2610.
- [8] R. Baudouy, P. Prince, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2067.
- [9] C. C. Watts, P. Thoniyot, L. C. Hirayama, T. Romano, B. Singaram, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1829.
- [10] M.-C. Wang, L.-T. Liu, J.-S. Zhang, Y.-Y. Shi, D.-K. Wang, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 3853.
- [11] C. Cimarelli, D. Fratoni, G. Palmieri, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 2234.
- [12] M. Y. Demakova, D. V. Sudarikov, S. A. Rubtsova, P. A. Slepukhin, A. V. Kuchin, Chem. Nat. Compd. 2012, 47, 899.
- [13] M. Y. Demakova, D. V. Sudarikov, S. A. Rubtsova, P. A. Slepukhin, A. V. Kuchin, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 48, 113.
- [14] H. Gilman, N. J. Beaber, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1925**, 47, 1449.
- [15] R. N. Salvatore, R. A. Smith, A. K. Nischwitz, T. Gavin, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8931.
- [16] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112.
- [17] H. C. Brown, A. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, 89, 1933.
- [18] A. W. Snow, E. E. Foos, Synthesis 2003, 509.
- [19] S. H. Zhao, O. Samuel, H. B. Kagan, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5135.
- [20] C. Bolm, F. Bienewald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 34, 2640.
- [21] J. F. Larrow, E. N. Jacobsen, Org. Synth. 1999, 75, 1.

Received December 2, 2011